

Using C₄ photosynthesis to increase the yield of rice—rationale and feasibility

Julian M Hibberd¹, John E Sheehy² and Jane A Langdale³

90% of the world's rice is grown and consumed in Asia, with each hectare of rice-producing land providing food for 27 people. By 2050, because of population growth and increasing urbanisation, each remaining hectare will have to feed at least 43 people. This means that yields must be increased by at least 50% over the next 40 years to prevent mass malnutrition for the 700 million Asians that currently rely on rice for more than 60% of their daily calorific intake. Since predictive models suggest that yield increases of this magnitude can only be achieved by improving photosynthesis, and because evolution has increased photosynthetic efficiency by 50% in the form of the C₄ pathway, one solution is to generate C₄ rice. However, this is an ambitious goal that requires proof of concept before any major investment of time and money. Here, we discuss approaches that should allow proof of concept to be tested.

Addresses

¹ Department of Plant Sciences, Downing Street, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 3EA, UK

² International Rice Research Institute, Los Baños, Philippines

³ Department of Plant Sciences, South Parks Road, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3RB, UK

Corresponding author: Hibberd, Julian M (j.sheehy@cgiar.org), Sheehy, John E (j.sheehy@cgiar.org) and Langdale, Jane A (jane.langdale@plants.ox.ac.uk)

Current Opinion in Plant Biology 2008, 11:228–231

This review comes from a themed issue on
Cell signalling and gene regulation
Edited by George Coupland and Salome Prat Monguio

Available online 18th January 2008

1369-5266/\$ – see front matter

© 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

DOI 10.1016/j.pbi.2007.11.002

Introduction

Rice—supply and demand in the 21st century

About halfway through the past century, plant breeding programmes generated cereals with high yield potential and led to large improvements in grain production. This became known as the ‘Green Revolution’. The short-stature rice cultivars that came to dominate production in Asia have, however, reached a yield barrier [1], and the gains associated with the Green Revolution have virtually ceased. This comes at a time when about 548 million Asians are undernourished [2^{••}]. Over the next 50 years, the population of Asia will increase by about 1.5 billion. At the same time, climate change will probably result in

more extreme variations in weather and have adverse effects on rice production [3], water scarcity will become more common possibly leading to a loss of irrigated rice land [4], and the increasing demand for biofuels will generate competition between grain for fuel and food resulting in price increases [5].

Currently, 75% of total rice production (600 Mt) comes from irrigated land and 22% from land receiving only rain; the balance comes from deepwater systems. Given the absence of additional agricultural land, the demands generated by population increases alone will require rice yields to rise by 50% in all ecosystems over the next half century.

Theoretical models suggest that the yield increases required to match the projected population growth can only be achieved by increasing the efficiency with which photosynthesis uses solar energy [6,7]. The radiation use efficiencies of C₃ and C₄ plants differ by about 50% [8,9], and such differences are attributable to differences in canopy photosynthesis. This has led to the radical suggestion that the C₄ photosynthesis pathway should be placed into rice [10]. Introducing the C₄ pathway into cultivars grown in irrigated, rainfed and deepwater ecosystems could be the solution to the problem of raising rice yields in all rice ecosystems [3]. In comparison to C₃ crops such as rice, C₄ crops have higher yields, reduced water loss and increased nitrogen use efficiency, particularly when grown in hot and dry environments [11^{••}].

C₄ versus C₃

Since photosynthetic organisms became terrestrial around 450 million years ago, land plant morphology and photosynthetic mechanisms have diversified [12]. Morphologically, ‘leaves’ have become more structured. For example, in extant mosses, leaf-like structures comprise relatively undifferentiated photosynthetic cells and gas exchange occurs through pores in the epidermis. In lycophytes, leaves are more complex, with stomata in the epidermis and chloroplasts in both epidermal and mesophyll cells. Within the angiosperms, there has been a further elaboration of morphology such that leaves develop multiple cell types, two or three of which are highly evolved to carry out photosynthesis. This morphological complexity is associated with higher photosynthetic capacity per unit area.

There are three photosynthetic pathways used by plants [11^{••}]. In most species, CO₂ is fixed by Ribulose Biphosphate Carboxylase/Oxygenase (RuBisCO) in the Calvin-

Benson cycle to generate a three-carbon compound. These plants are referred to as C₃. Species that use the C₄ and Crassulacean Acid Metabolism (CAM) pathways evolved from C₃ plants, and in both cases, a four-carbon compound is initially formed from fixation of HCO₃⁻. C₄ and CAM plants increase the efficiency with which CO₂ is supplied to RuBisCO through the addition of this C₄ carbon shuttle. Thus, C₃ is the ancestral pathway, with C₄ and CAM representing recently diverged forms.

The evolution of C₄ from C₃ occurred independently at least 45 times in angiosperms [13], yet the differences between the two photosynthetic types are complex. Most C₄ plants, and certainly all known C₄ grasses, compartmentalise photosynthetic reactions between two morphologically distinct cell types that are arranged in concentric circles around veins [14]. This so-called Kranz anatomy comprises enlarged bundle sheath (BS) cells immediately adjacent to the veins that are surrounded by mesophyll (M) cells. This arrangement generates a consistent interveinal distance of four cells (vein-BS-M-M-BS-vein). Metabolic reactions are divided between BS and M such that C₄ acids are generated in M cells and then diffuse to the BS where the Calvin-Benson cycle operates. This separation of metabolism is achieved by restricting the expression of a small number of genes to either the BS or M cells. Carbonic anhydrase (CA), phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (PEPC), NADP-malate dehydrogenase (MDH), pyruvate orthophosphate dikinase (PPDK) and the proteins involved in their post-translational regulation accumulate in the M cells, whereas NADP-malic enzyme (ME) and RuBisCO are restricted to the BS [15]. Genes encoding all of these enzymes are present in C₃ plants, but expression levels are much lower than in C₄ species.

The polyphyletic evolution of the C₄ pathway suggests that the transition from C₃ to C₄ is relatively simple. This suggestion is supported by the observation that both C₃ and C₄ plants possess inherent plasticity in their photosynthetic characteristics. Biochemical characteristics of C₄ photosynthesis are present in some cell types of C₃ species [16], and C₃ patterns of cell-type differentiation can be found in some tissues of C₄ plants [17]. These observations suggest that C₃ and C₄ characteristics can develop in a single plant and thus that mechanisms to induce high levels of C₄ gene expression are present in C₃ plants. Indeed some plants are able to switch between C₃ and C₄ photosynthesis [18,19], indicating that the processes governing the function of these apparently complex systems must be flexible.

In addition to C₃ and C₄, there are a number of genera that contain C₃–C₄ intermediate species. The characteristics of these intermediates have been used to hypothesise the sequence of events that occurred as C₄ evolved [11••]. However, there is no evidence that C₃–C₄ intermediates are in the process of evolving the C₄ pathway, and it is

equally possible that they represent evolutionary dead ends.

The way forward?

Although generating C₄ rice is extremely ambitious, the polyphyletic evolution of C₄ photosynthesis provides cause for optimism. The challenge is how to repeat the process in a reasonable timeframe.

Some species use a form of the C₄ pathway that operates in single cells [20,21]. While this may at a first glance seem a simpler system to attempt to install into rice, in all domesticated, highly productive C₄ crops, 'Kranz' anatomy and the compartmentalisation of photosynthetic metabolism are inextricably linked. Therefore, in our opinion, the generation of C₄ rice will require a mechanistic understanding of this apparently necessary interface between leaf morphology and metabolism in classical 'Kranz' C₄ species. Such a goal demands an examination of photosynthetic characteristics in C₃ plants with altered leaf morphology and of leaf morphology in C₃ plants with altered photosynthetic metabolism.

To determine the extent to which rice leaf morphology can vary, screens are being carried out on mutant *O. sativa* populations and on accessions of the 22 wild-rice relatives. Preliminary screens have shown variations in both interveinal distance and the degree of chloroplast development in BS cells (unpublished). For example, the domesticated *O. sativa* inbred line IR64 has approximately twelve M cells between adjacent veins, and chloroplasts occupy approximately 20% of the BS cell area. By contrast, accessions of *O. barthii* and *O. australiensis* have an average of six M cells between veins. These observations suggest that genetic variation within existing *Oryza* germplasm resources may be sufficient to develop morphological features that would support an efficient two-cell C₄ pathway. The challenge is to find accessions of rice with decreased interveinal distance, and optimal chloroplast volume within BS cells. Notably, *O. barthii* and *O. australiensis* have also been reported to accumulate elevated levels of PEPC [22] implying that in species with altered leaf anatomy, there are also changes in leaf metabolism.

There is also evidence that altering primary metabolism of C₃ leaves has profound effects on leaf development. For example, constitutive manipulation of components of the Calvin-Benson cycle causes alterations to leaf morphology [23,24] and specific metabolites can act as signalling molecules to alter leaf development [25•,26]. In the case of C₄ metabolism, induction of high levels of NADP-ME in rice leads to a reduced stacking of thylakoids in chloroplasts [27] and specific manipulation of translocators that have been co-opted into key roles in C₄ plants alter both leaf and cell development [28•,29]. Surprisingly, overexpression of a PEPC gene from *Corynebacterium* in *Vicia narbonensis* leads to accumulation of

transcripts encoding enzymes involved in the methylation cycle [30^{••}]. As DNA methylation is known to be important in controlling gene expression, the link between high activities of PEPC in a specific cell type, flux through the methylation cycle, and its influence on gene expression should be investigated. Overall, these observations indicate that an altered metabolite flux generated by the C₄ pathway could lead to altered leaf morphology.

Conclusions

To avoid a humanitarian disaster, rice production needs to keep pace with the rate of population growth. Because plants that use the C₄ pathway can maintain faster rates of photosynthesis and higher yields than those that use the C₃ pathway, it has been proposed that rice should be engineered to use C₄ photosynthesis. This is extremely ambitious, and so we argue that proof of concept is needed. Furthermore, because there is increasing evidence that leaf morphology and metabolism are co-ordinated, we argue that initial studies should be designed to investigate the mechanistic interface between leaf morphology and metabolism in C₃ and C₄ leaves.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Royal Society, the Gatsby Charitable Foundation, the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council and the Leverhulme Trust for funding.

References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the annual period of the review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
 - of outstanding interest
1. Kropff M, Cassman K, Peng S, Matthews R, Setter T: **Quantitative understanding of yield potential**. In *Workshop on Rice Yield Potential in Favorable Environments*. Edited by Cassman K. International Rice Research Institute, Los Baños, Philippines: 1994:21-38.
 2. Dawe D: **Agricultural research, poverty alleviation and key trends in asia's rice economy**. In *Charting new pathways to C4 rice*. Edited by Sheehy JE, Mitchell PL, Hardy B. World Scientific Publishing; 2007:37-53.
 3. Sheehy JE, Ferrer AB, Mitchell PL: **Harnessing photosynthesis in tomorrow's world: Humans, crop production and poverty alleviation**. In *Energy from the sun. Proceedings of the 14th International Congress of Photosynthesis Glasgow*. Edited by Allen JF, Gantt E, Golbeck JH, Osmond B. Heidelberg: Springer; 2007, in press.
 4. Bouman B, Lampayan R, Tuong T: **Water Management in Irrigated Rice: Coping With Water Scarcity**. International Rice Research Institute; 2007:.. p. 54.
 5. Cassman KG, Liska AJ: **Food and Fuel for all: realistic or foolish?** *Biofuels Bioprod. Bioref.* 2007, **1**:18-23.
 6. Mitchell P, Sheehy J: **Supercharging rice photosynthesis to increase yield**. *New Phytologist* 2006, **171**:688-693.
 7. Long SP, Zhu X-G, Naidu S, Ort D: **Can improvement in photosynthesis increase crop yields?** *Plant Cell Environ.* 2006, **29**:315-330.
 8. Kiniry J, Jones C, O'Toole J, Blanchet R, Cabelguenne M, Spanel D: **Radiation use efficiency in biomass accumulation prior to grain filling for five crop species**. *Field Crops Res.* 1989, **20**:51-64.
 9. Mitchell PL, Sheehy JE, Woodward FI: **Potential yields and the efficiency of radiation use in rice**. IRRI Discussion Paper Series No. 32. International Rice Research Institute, Manila, Philippines; 1998.
 10. SurrIDGE C: **Agricultural biotech: the rice squad**. *Nature* 2002, **416**:576-578.
 11. Sage RF: **The evolution of C4 photosynthesis**. *New Phytologist* 2004, **161**:341-370.
An in depth analysis of when, where and why C₄ photosynthesis has evolved polyphyletically in angiosperms.
 12. Beerling DJ: **Leaf evolution: gases, genes and geochemistry**. *Ann. Bot. (Lond.)* 2005, **96**:345-352.
 13. Kellogg EA: **Phylogenetic aspects of the evolution of C4 photosynthesis**. In *C4 Plant Biology*. Edited by Sage RF, Monson RK. Academic Press; 1999:411-444.
 14. Nelson T, Langdale JA: **Developmental genetics of C4 photosynthesis**. *Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.* 1992, **43**:25-47.
 15. Brown N, Parsley K, Hibberd JM: **The future of C4 research—maize, flaveria or cleome?** *Trends Plant Sci.* 2005, **10**:215-221.
 16. Hibberd JM, Quick WP: **Characteristics of C4 photosynthesis in stems and petioles of C3 flowering plants**. *Nature* 2002, **415**:451-454.
 17. Langdale JA, Zelitch I, Miller E, Nelson T: **Cell position and light influence C4 versus C3 patterns of photosynthetic gene expression in maize**. *EMBO J.* 1988, **7**:3643-3651.
 18. Cheng S-H, Moore BD, Wu J, Edwards GE, Ku MSB: **Photosynthetic plasticity in Flaveria brownii. Growth irradiance and the expression of C4 photosynthesis**. *Plant Physiol.* 1989, **89**:1129-1135.
 19. Ueno O: **Induction of Kranz anatomy and C4-like biochemical characteristics in a submerged amphibious plant by abscisic acid**. *Plant Cell* 1998, **10**:571-583.
 20. Voznesenskaya EV, Franceschi VR, Kierats O, Freitag H, Edwards GE: **Kranz anatomy is not essential for terrestrial C4 plant photosynthesis**. *Nature* 2001, **414**:543-546.
 21. Reiskind J, Madsen T, van Ginkel L, Bowes G: **Evidence that inducible C4-type photosynthesis is a chloroplastic CO2-concentrating mechanism in Hydrilla, a submersed monocot**. *Plant Cell Environ.* 1997, **20**:211-220.
 22. Yeo ME, Yeo AR, Flowers TJ: **Photosynthesis and photorespiration in the genus Oryza**. *J. Exp. Bot.* 1994, **45**:553-560.
 23. Fichtner K, Quick W, Schulze E, Mooney H, Rodermel S, Bogorad L, Stitt M: **Decreased ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase-oxygenase in transgenic tobacco transformed with antisense rbcs. 5. Relationship between photosynthetic rate, storage strategy, biomass allocation and vegetative plant growth at 3 different nitrogen supplies**. *Planta* 1993, **190**:1-9.
 24. Lawson T, Bryant B, Lefebvre S, Lloyd J, Raines C: **Decreased SBPase activity alters growth and development in transgenic tobacco plants**. *Plant Cell Environ.* 2006, **29**:48-58.
 25. Raines C, Paul M: **Products of leaf primary carbon metabolism modulate the development programme determining plant morphology**. *J. Exp. Bot.* 2006, **57**:1857-1862.
Summarises the evidence showing that altered primary metabolism can perturb leaf development and morphology.
 26. Pellny T, Ghannoum O, Conroy J, Schluempmann H, Smeekens S, Andralojc J, Krause K, Goddijn O, Paul M: **Genetic modification of photosynthesis with E. coli genes for trehalose synthesis**. *Plant Biotechnol.* 2004, **2**:71-82.
 27. Takeuchi K, Akagi H, Kamasawa N, Osumi M, Honda H: **Aberrant chloroplasts in transgenic rice plants expressing a high level of maize NADP-dependent malic enzyme**. *Planta* 2000, **211**:265-274.

28. Schneiderei J, Hausler RE, Fiene G, Kaiser WM, Weber AP:
• **Antisense repression reveals a crucial role of the plastidic 2-oxoglutarate/malate translocator DiT1 at the interface between carbon and nitrogen metabolism.** *Plant J.* 2006, **45**:206-224.

Shows that when the amount of the plastidic 2-oxoglutarate/malate translocator DiT1 is altered, this can lead to abnormalities in leaf development. In other words, metabolite transport and leaf morphology are linked.

29. Streatfield S, Weber A, Kinsman E, Hausler R, Li J, Post-Beittenmiller D, Kaiser W, Pyke K, Flugge U, Chory J: **The phosphoenolpyruvate/phosphate translocator is required for phenolic metabolism, palisade cell development and**

plastid-dependent nuclear gene expression. *Plant Cell* 1999, **11**:1609-1621.

30. Radchuk R, Radchuk V, Gotz K, Weichert H, Richter A, Neil Emery R, Weschke W, Weber H: **Ectopic expression of phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase in *Vicia narbonensis* seeds: effects of improved nutrient status on seed maturation and transcriptional regulatory networks.** *Plant J.* 2007, **51**:819-839.

This study shows the unexpected results that are sometimes obtained when primary metabolism is manipulated. Overexpression of PEPC lead to altered abundance of transcripts encoding proteins that make up the methylation cycle.